

THE GEIS LETTER

#30

Thought Crime, Dogmacide & Intellectual Heresy

JANUARY 1996

THE GEIS LETTER is matter of opinion by Richard Geis, published when an issue is completed. Copyright 1996 by Richard E. Geis. All rights reserved.

THE GEIS LETTER is produced for adults.

Sample copy: \$1.00

Subscriptions: USA: \$1. per issue.

Subscribe to as many as you wish.

Other countries: US\$2. per issue.

BACK ISSUES: Same as subscription prices.

THE GEIS LETTER is not available via subscription agencies.

Make all cheques or money orders payable to Richard Geis.

All Mail to:

RICHARD GEIS

PO BOX 11408

PORTLAND OR 97211-0408

No advertising accepted. FYI clips, articles, and etc. welcomed.

ITEMS OF INTEREST

■ I hit a solid single in my noting how the print media were keeping 'invisible' the race of the three black Navy men who kidnapped and raped that 12-year-old Japanese-Okinawan school girl a few weeks ago.

I was guessing they were black by using the 'dog that didn't bark' clue: Had the three been white that fact would have been noted in print media and TV news. The absence of a mention of race indicated to me that the liberal media were hiding for as long as they could the bla--- er, the African-Americanness---of the rapists.

Sure enough, a day or two after I wrote and published that entry in TGL I saw the criminals in CNN news video.

Since then their faces have

appeared in print media photos and their families have been raising a ruckus about coerced confessions and the unfairness of the Japanese court system and trial.

The point is not the fact of race, but the way the liberal print media slanted and edited the story. They are intent on sheltering blacks and smearing whites [especially conservative white Christians] whenever possible. I say this as a life-long anti-religious atheist.

I also note that the [white] commanding admiral of the Navy in the Pacific was forced to take early retirement by the Clinton administration for the Political Incorrectness of suggesting to the media that these three kidnapers & rapists were stupid for raping the girl to get sex when they could have used the money they used to rent a car (in which to hunt a victim and in which to rape her) to find and pay a prostitute.

I'm not sure where lies the admiral's sin: Was it in suggesting stupidity? Was it in implying it is okay for young men to want sex? Or was it in suggesting that some Japanese prostitutes exist on Okinawa to service American Navy men?

He paid the price for honesty, truth and talking to the media.

It's well known that the Japanese are very racist: they believe themselves the superior race on Earth, and believe blacks are little more than animals. It is a horror for the Japanese to contemplate this rape of a Japanese school girl by three black American "animals." No wonder they want the Americans to vacate the huge American Navy base in Okinawa.

But since the base is part of the surrender agreement signed in 1945, there is some question as to the power

of the Japanese to demand a withdrawal.

■ President Clinton vetoed the \$265 billion defense bill Thursday, but in order to assure some pay increases for the armed forces:

'He signed an executive order using existing authority to raise military pay by 2%, effective Jan. 1.'

So says Lawrence L. Knutson of the Associated Press in his 9 column inch story in the 12-29-95 THE OREGONIAN.

Bet that Clinton's 'existing authority' will never be explained to the American people by the major media, and bet that Congress, so jealous of its powers of the purse, will never challenge this 'existing authority' to countermand and ignore their constitutional authority.

This has been just a tiny, quick glimpse behind the benign mask of constitutional government at the cold, ugly face of selective, partial dictatorship in this country.

→ → An astounding event: while idly watching the House of Representatives on C-SPAN-1 I watched as a message from President Clinton was read to the House:

[Paraphrase] The President notes that the [Libyan?] state of emergency is due to expire (in a few weeks) and he is hereby extending that state of emergency by publishing that fact in the Congressional Register.

This was a bald-faced proof of what I published in TGL #29, last issue: The President makes law by simply publishing it in the CR.

Without batting an eye, the presiding officer of the House referred the message to a foreign affairs committee. Perhaps routine. There was no reaction from the House floor.

But I'll betcha a lot of viewers'

antennae perked up and a lot of letters and faxes will be sent to congressmen asking for chapter and verse on that little bit of 'by-play'.

■ Curious story on the TV/cable news (NBC and CNBC, no video to show, nothing on CNN) today (12-29-95) about how the Netherlands government is holding an American they think is involved in the Oklahoma City bombing, and they want to extradite him. They think he sold or provided some weapons to McVeigh and Nichols.

But the American Justice Dept. is reportedly saying the man is wanted for thefts of rare and valuable manuscripts from Columbia University and is not involved in the Oklahoma City bombing case.

★ 12-30-95 In the newspaper today is this 'wire services' item:

'AMSTERDAM, Netherlands --- Dutch newspapers reported Friday that a man fighting extradition to the United States is linked to suspects in the Oklahoma City bombing. The U.S. Justice Department denied any connection.

'The Netherlands has granted a request to extradite Daniel Spiegelman on unrelated charges. Three Dutch newspapers reported the suspect also is linked to Timothy McVeigh and Terry Nichols, suspects in the Oklahoma City bombing.

'One newspaper said a man it identified only as Daniel S. is suspected of supplying weapons to those responsible for the April 19 bombing of the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building which killed 169 people and injured more than 500.

'Spiegelman is a convicted felon who is being extradited to face federal charges of illegal weapons possession, said Carl Stern, a U.S. Justice Department spokesman in Washington. Stern said the charges are unrelated to the Oklahoma City bombing.'

Questions: Why are the Dutch newspapers so sure he is involved? Why did the reported charge against Spiegelman change from manuscript theft to unrelated illegal weapons

possession? Is this a case of shifting cover stories? Will the U.S. news media follow up on this?

★ 1-3-96 An item on CNBC (financial news) today saying that Timothy McVeigh's attorney's are flying to Amsterdam to question Daniel Spiegelman.

This story may have more legs than our government wishes.

★ 1-7-96 From today's The Oregonian:

'Amsterdam, Netherlands --- A member of the defense team for Oklahoma City bombing defendant Timothy McVeigh says a man in custody in the Netherlands resembles a suspect wanted in the bombing, a Dutch newspaper reported Saturday.

'Ann Bradley, a researcher on McVeigh's defense team, visited the Dutch lawyer for Daniel Spiegelman on Friday and was shown his picture.

'She said she saw similarities between him and the suspect known as "John Doe #2," whose sketch was circulated by the FBI, De Telegraaf reported.

'Spiegelman, a U.S. citizen, has been in custody since June, when he was arrested on suspicion of trading in stolen manuscripts.'

But unanswered so far is why the Dutch think he's involved in the Oklahoma City bombing, and why the U.S. government says he is now also wanted on 'unrelated gun charges'.

★ And now this wrinkle from the 1-12-96 The Oregonian:

Amsterdam, Netherlands --- A U.S. citizen who claims he has been linked to the Oklahoma City bombing denies any involvement and is threatening a hunger strike if extradited to the United States on unrelated charges.

'Daniel Spiegelman was speaking in a phone interview for broadcast Thursday night on "Deadline," a current affairs program.

'Asked if he had anything to do with the April 19 blast that killed 169 people at the Alfred P. Murrah Building, Spiegelman replied, "Absolutely not. I was involved in it as much as I was involved with the assassination of John F. Kennedy."

'Speaking from a Rotterdam prison, Spiegelman also denied knowing Timothy McVeigh or Terry Nichols, the two suspects in the bombing.

'U.S. officials have repeatedly denied that Spiegelman is a suspect in the bombing. But both Spiegelman and his lawyer claim a legal attache at the U.S. Embassy in Brussels, Belgium, Joseph Smith, said he was a suspect.

'The contention that Spiegelman is a suspect could be used to block his extradition on charges he traded in rare manuscripts stolen from Columbia University: The Netherlands doesn't extradite suspects in capital crimes, such as the bombing.'

Still unanswered: Why and where did the large newspapers of The Netherlands get the headline news that Daniel Spiegelman was a suspect in the Oklahoma City bombing?

And why and how would a 'legal attache' in the U.S. Embassy in Belgium tell a Dutch prisoner and the prisoner's lawyer that the prisoner was a bombing suspect?

Well, a guess: The 'attache' is a CIA agent stationed in the embassy (as so many are, worldwide) and he is trying [under orders] to make sure that Spiegelman does not return to the United States (no matter what the official Justice Dept. statements may be).

No mention in this story of the 'unrelated' gun charges supposedly awaiting Spiegelman in the United States.

All this 'churning' may be a cover for the Dutch to hold or even release Spiegelman and cancel the extradition order. No photo yet, of Spiegelman. Does he really resemble John Doe #2?

■ From Paul A. Gigot's "Potomac Watch" column in the 12-29-95 Wall Street Journal:

'Is Bosnia really such a big political gamble? Maybe not. Winter is always quiet in the Balkans and all sides know they can wait the Yanks out for a year. Certainly the Serbs don't want to do anything that might

elect Bob Dole. By Labor Day, Mr. Clinton could be withdrawing troops and declaring victory. What happens after the election is another story."

Yes, if the enemies in Bosnia were rational, secular people. Alas, they are very emotional, territorial, religious and revenge-minded.

I don't think they will be able to wait. I think they'll try 'testing' N.A.T.O. forces and perhaps especially American forces in small violations of the 'peace' agreement, and these could escalate, tit-for-tat, into a 'guerrilla' phase of the war. I think the American commanders would have a difficult time dealing with that kind of low-level conflict.

At the moment all the armies are enjoying some rest and refitting. But how long can the leaders hold back the "fundamentalists"?

■ Looks like the powers-that-be expect a war with Iran in a few years...as Iran develops its nuclear bombs and completes rebuilding and modernizing its army, navy and air force.

Signals are flying in the winds of history, to coin a pretentious phrase. Witness the 1-7-96 story in The Oregonian:

'Riyadh, Saudi Arabia --- The United States will expand its already massive military presence in the Persian Gulf to deter a long-term threat of aggression by Iran and Iraq, Defense Secretary William Perry said Saturday.

'Perry told reporters traveling with him that a defense plan for the region prepared by top U.S. commanders is based on deterring aggression by moving in thousands of soldiers and the tanks and planes to back them up.

""The threat in the Gulf from Iraq is greatly reduced from what it was before (Operation) Desert Storm," Perry said, referring to the 1990-1991 war. "But it is not one that we can ignore.""

C'mon! Iraq is under constant inspection, under continued U.N. sanctions, and cannot rebuild its army, and Scud missile forces.

Certainly not its nuclear and biological weapons programs within ten years.

No, the new, extended American build-up will be aimed at Iran. And it is doubtful it will be long-term, as in ten-fifteen years. Too expensive and too vulnerable to political and social forces here at home.

If the American people still have any say in the matter.

Our rulers may have decided a preemptory war is necessary.

They cannot allow Iran to acquire or make nuclear weapons and the necessary delivery systems. We're talking 3-5 years.

They will do anything to keep control of Saudi and Sultanate oil.

[And wouldn't it be wonderful to overthrow the Moslem government of Iran and again (remember the Shah of Iran, before Khomeini took over in a revolution?) impose one favorable to the West, and gain control of Iranian oil as well? They still hope to control Iraq's oil when Hussein finally leaves the scene, after Bush's blunder in not taking out Hussein when he had the easy chance]

And they see clearly the rising tide of Islamic fundamentalism which threatens their client governments in Algiers, Jordan, Egypt, and above all in the Gulf region.

Of course it will be necessary to make the coming war appear the fault of Iran, which will be painted as a ruthless, dangerous, aggressive, fanatic 'outlaw' Moslem nation. Just like Iraq and Libya...coincidentally oil-rich nations.

And it appears to me that the Elite (the corporate and above all the inner core of financial power in the world today) will get their way, no matter how many Muslims have to be killed by self-righteous, ignorant, brainwashed Americans.

The enemies of The Great Satan don't have much of a chance against the American Air Force until they acquire or develop effective anti-aircraft and anti-missile weapons.

I'll be interested during the next few years in what new 'developments' occur in the Gulf region of an agit-

prop nature--smear stories, atrocity fabrications, reported attacks or attempts on 'innocent' American military ships and planes, etc. designed to prepare the American people for another Gulf war.

★ 1-22-96 An ABC (TV) News story tonight is a case in point: The United States government is concerned about extensive, virtually perfect counterfeiting of U.S. \$100 bills in the Middle East, and believes Iran may be the perpetrator, using the unfunny money to finance terrorist activities. ABC quotes a U.S. government official as saying such large-scale counterfeiting by a government could be considered "an act of war."

But note: our government is now only willing to say Iran "may be" the counterfeiter. But who among the millions who watched that TV "news" story noticed the careful qualifier 'may be'. They came away **knowing** Iran is a criminal country who hates the United States [and by implication, they believe we thus have a perfect right to punish Iran].

The Preparation for War advances.

■ From an Associated Press story by Laurie Asseo in the 1-9-96 The Oregonian:

'Washington --- Rebuffing free-speech advocates, the Supreme Court is letting federal regulators confine racy television and radio programs to late-night hours when children are less likely to tune in.

'The justices left intact a federal appeals court ruling that upheld government limits on indecent broadcasts.'

'The broadcast indecency rule was upheld last June by the U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia. "We find that the government has a compelling interest in protecting children under the age of 18 from exposure to indecent broadcasts," the appeals court said.

'Past court rulings have defined "indecent" material as that which

describes sexual or excretory activities or organs in patently offensive terms.

'Since 1987, the FCC has deemed as indecent all explicit references to such things as masturbation, ejaculation, breast size, penis size, sexual intercourse, nudity, urination, oral-genital contact, erections, sodomy, bestiality, menstruation and testicles.

'Unlike obscenity, indecency is protected by the First Amendment's free-speech guarantee. Government agencies must justify any interference with indecent expression.'

So 'indecent' is 'explicit' and 'patently offensive' descriptions of sex parts and activities.

I assume it is okay with the FCC if detailed descriptions of stabbing (with a knife, not a horrible penis), maiming, torture, and other vivid violence-against-human-bodies are available to children?

And who decides, on a personal level, what is explicit and what is mere naming? How many adjectives or adverbs are allowed?

May we say "He masturbates every six hours whether he's horny or not"? But may we not say, "He masturbates wildly..." or "He masturbates juicily..." or "His huge penis in hand, he masturbates..."

Where does reportage leave off and indecency begin?

Ah, semantics. Ah, cultural prejudice. Ah, shit.

What the Supreme Court is doing is going along with the Child/Adult distinctions being cemented into our culture and society as regards sex and violence.

Adults (over 18, and then over 21) are enfranchised to 'consume' first 'indecent' material, and then the legal porn of Very Sexual Explicit material, including all manner and kinds of explicit, detailed and graphic violence. But not ever sex porn with graphic violence. That's a societal wall not yet breached.

I really have no argument with that basic premise. I don't think children (like, from birth to 15 years) should have to deal with sex and

killing to any significant extent.

But there will always be the brutal murders on the local news, the graphic video of ripped and torn bodies on CNN war coverage and plane crashes....and R-rated nudity on HBO, etc. which curiosity and inadvertence will bring to kids.

All I want are fair guidelines and sensible procedures which help creators know the legal limits and which involve warnings before all-out prosecutions.

Let the law be clear, even if the law is a ass.

At present there is too much prosecutorial self-interest involved in 'obscenity' law; the imprecision and vagueness allow politically opportunistic, easy-victim "ambush" arrests, indictments and trials, great temptations for ambitious, amoral prosecutors.

Ah, how many D.A.'s who are would-be senators, representatives, governors have prayed for a good, juicy sexual predator case, a child murderer...and how many 'settle' for a risky, contrived "obscenity" case to gain publicity and public approval?

I would suggest laws requiring, in cases of enforcement of vague law, the authorities issue a Warning to the alleged offender---perhaps a series of Warnings and a hearing---before the drastic last resort of arrest and so on.

Yes, this is a kind of pre-censorship which is a no-no in our era, but some kind of process of preliminary cautioning and argument should be set in place to prevent undue costs, injury and exploitation inherent in all-or-nothing enforcements of "thought crime" laws which, inherently unfair, cannot be precise and easily understood.

Perhaps 'obscenity' should be taken out of criminal law and assigned to civil law?

That would not make happy the sex-haters and sex-punishers among the rabid Godsters and others emotionally warped, nor would be happy the lawyers who make big bucks and headlines prosecuting and defending "obscenity" cases.

But maybe, someday, sanity and

rationality and the need to save money will influence the voters, the legislatures and city councils in the matters of District Attorney budgets.

■ Further proof that most corporations will sacrifice ethics, freedom and integrity to protect their asses [the 'bottom' line] is apparent in a new wimp city demo.

After CompuServe caved in to one minor German official and sex-censored its entire worldwide Internet service, we have this:

'Milwaukee --- Fearful of a crackdown, a computer bulletin board service said Thursday that it has gotten rid of all its erotica.

'Exec-PC of New Berlin, which bills itself as the nation's largest computer bulletin board, notified subscribers Monday that it had eliminated about 50,000 files of adult material, including pictures of porno stars and nude photos.

"Since it is only 7 percent of our service, and it could result in the 100 percent loss of our business, the risk is not worth it," said Bob Mahoney, Exec-PC founder."

This without any specific law or threat of a law in this country.

The arbitors of Political Correctness are not slow to jump on this bandwagon now that CompuServe and Exec-PC have caved in to sex censorship "pressures".

An organization in the South [the Southern something Poverty Law Center, I think] has said it will put pressure on lawmakers to force Internet services to prohibit and censor speech on the Net to cope with racist, 'hate' speech and anti-semitism.

Look for the Feminazis to call for monitoring and forbidding of verbal abuse of women, 'rape speech' and misogyny in general.

Scott Russo said it satirically best in 1992 when he pronounced, "It's high time someone put an end to the free speech and free press that have been plaguing the Western civilized world for centuries."

It may very well end with almost full freedom of speech being available only in small circulation zines such as

this [and in non-fiction books] which are of negligible impact and no 'danger' to society, and as such tolerable. [But beware, a local D.A. can still prosecute Extreme Pictures by young cartoonists of 300-copy editions.]

And even the 'pin-prick' freedom of zines is vulnerable to the stated philosophy of the current statist in loco parentis Supreme Court:

"We find that the government has a compelling interest in protecting children under the age of 18 from..." (Fill in your favorite 'crime'.)

A 'compelling' interest? Only if the children make it to birth time. In the first 9 months of life, humans, in America, are subject to arbitrary murder by their mothers...and millions of them per year...are...murdered.

After a child is allowed to be born, of course, in guilty compensation, we Americans divert ourselves from those aborted human lives by spending huge sums to 'save' severely flawed, damaged or incomplete newborns and by raising various and sundry hysterical hues and cries about varieties of 'child abuse' and 'endangerment'.

The greater the media adoration of doctors for saving the life of a dying infant by performing a marvelous million-dollar heart-lung-kidney-liver transplant, and the greater the media uproar over kids' access to 'sex', adults' use of 'kiddie porn', 'child molesters' and parental or baby-sitter abuse, the more I can tell our societal guilty conscience over abortion is bothering us.

In defense of abortion, the argument that a woman has the 'right to own her body and do with her body as she wishes' [the right to choose abortion] holds about as much water as the argument that a homeowner has the right to shoot and kill a tenant with a twenty-year lease as a matter of convenience and 'other plans' for the space. It is his house, after all!

And of course, the woman's right to control her body and use it as she wishes argument does not, oddly enough, extend to renting it out for sex use...or taking certain drugs...or

suicide. Why not?

I mean, if a woman has the legal right to kill the human life in her womb, why doesn't she have the legal right to kill herself? Why aren't the Feminists aguing that right? Why isn't female suicide politically correct?

Why don't they rabidly advocate legal, safe, emotionally empowering prostitution?

Why don't they clamor for the woman's absolute right to choose to use various addictive and body-ruining drugs? It's hr body, isn't it? Doesn't she have the right to harm it if she wishes?

And finally, to end this diatribe, please note that our principled president is willing to put an American Army into Bosnia to stop the killing (250,000 people in two or three years?), but he approves the killing of millions of unborn Americans every year. Is this doublethink, or what?

I do love this crazy country; the schizophrenia and paranoia is incredibly entertaining.

Sometimes I think we are a species of not-intelligent-enough monkeys in way over our heads...and going deeper daily.

■ THE 401(k) ROAD TO HELL

1-13-96 The stock market, taking a dive, is finally beginning to face some aspects of economic reality.

As I understand it, the overall economic situation is this:

The boom in the stock and bond and commodity markets is being fed by pension money by the trillions seeking good returns, mostly by investing in mutual funds.

Corporations are putting their pension funds in the Market, and individuals with 401(k) retirement plans are deciding on mutual funds, too.

There are probably thousands of mutual funds pouring a continuous stream of pension money into stocks, bonds, commodity futures...derivatives...foreign markets....

As a result of this seemingly endless flood of money saved, the

years-long stock market boom (as measured by the Dow-Jones Industrial Average has gone up and up, through 3000, through 4000, 5000...

Stock market gurus are predicting 6000...10,000....endless profits, endless boom...

And the corporations, in order to compete worldwide, have been feeding this mania by 'downsizing' and merging by the dozens in order to show big-and-bigger profits and 'market share' and stock dividends.

The stock markets uses these great profits and dividend increases to justify ever-higher prices... until the price-to-dividend ratios have become incredible, far above those in the Market before the crashes of 1929 and 1987.

Not to worry, say the sooth-sayers.

BUT. There is a dark side...

The cost of this boom has been the gradual 'pooring-down' of America, as exemplified by AT&T's decision to cut 40,000 jobs during the next three years in order to use advanced technology and maintain or increase profits.

We've all seen dozens of other corporations in the past decade cut thousands of jobs. One commentator said, "They're firing their customers." And that's true to a large degree.

The fired workers have by and large been forced to take lower-pay jobs in order to survive.

This 'pooring-down' has now resulted in a very bad 1995 Holiday selling season and a severe increase in credit card defaults and historic highs in consumer debt.

Bank reserves (for making loans) are tight, and the Federal Reserve is keeping interest rates too high.

Inventories are too high. Sales too low. Housing starts are declining, manufacturing is declining...

The stage is set for a recession.

Some, myself included, think the stage is set for a depression. A commentator remarked a few days ago that because of the 'government shutdowns' recently, and the consequent lack of Commerce Dept. and Labor Dept. reports on production,

prices, sales, unemployment claims, etc., "We could be in a recession and not know it."

There is a slow increase in the percentage of poorer paid workers who increasingly cannot go deeper into debt to maintain their usual standard of living.

This very slow retrogression will inevitably force more and more families to 'double-up' in houses, force children to stay in the family home longer, delay marriages, share cars, not go out to eat as often, and go less often to the movies, grow more vegetable gardens, etc.

Just as the corporations downsize and merge, so the people will and are downsizing and merging in their fashion in order to live on their smaller incomes.

And so the inching, glacier recession which has been masked by a superficial boom in small businesses and service jobs (in government and health services) and surging financial markets, will "surface" and feed on itself as people buy less and spend less and cause other layoffs and incremental declines in production and services...and lower profits which will further kill the stock markets...

Eventually only a 1930's massive 'pump-priming' deficit spending program or a major war (which is a wonderfully inescapable "The Enemy Made Us Do It" deficit spending program in a patriotic costume) will serve to 'reflate' the economy and put people 'back to work' and put evermore spending power in the hands of the people.

That will come. It'll be *deja vu* all over again ala the 1940s with updates and variations.

But we must first go through the ugly, zero-sum game of hard times and deflation. The monstrous overhang of international, personal, corporate and some local government debts must be shed---primarily by default and bankruptcy and collapsing financial markets...which will further shrink the money supply and the economy, causing more unemployment and hardship, teaching bitter lessons to everyone about the horrors

and dangers of debt...and the terrible risks of betting your pension on the Big Casino stock and bond markets.

In the beginning there was wonderful credit, and in the end there was terrible debt. Two sides of the same I.O.U.

The danger to the nation is that the depression will be so severe and so long-lasting, and the people in such shock, that they will eagerly accept a socialist "democratic" dictatorship to shortcut the final agonizing stages of the debt cycle and attempt to force good times to return.

That 'solution' has been attempted by desperate peoples before, always to bad ends. In our case it would probably involve eventual revolutions, the eventual break-up of the country, mass death and destruction.

Are you sure you want to get into the 21st Century? It's going to be hell.

★ **Memory Hole Dept.** 1-23-96.

Today a Treasury Dept. official while discussing the options to avoid default on March 1st twice said the Treasury would not sell gold to pay its debts, and that "We have never sold the country's gold."

Ha! Flat lie. I don't remember the exact years, but about thirty years ago there was a run on the United States' gold 'hoard'.

After World War II the United States possessed most of the gold in the world!

But after some years THE U.S. began selling gold from our reserves to any country that wanted it and had the cash to pay for it.

[While it was still illegal for U.S. citizens to own gold.]

Millions and millions of ounces of gold went to Europe---England, France, Germany, Belgium, The Netherlands...

Subsequently, after 90+% of the gold was gone, the then president 'closed the gold window' by forbidding sales to anyone.

There was a scandal instigated primarily by a radio commentator named Dr. Peter Beter who claimed

the gold in Fort Knox had been looted and that only a pittance of our gold was left.

A congressional inquiry resulted in a visit by a select group of politicians to Fort Knox to see if there was indeed gold there. Dr. Beter was not invited, nor was any skeptic.

The pols were accompanied by the Media, and were permitted to see one ground-level vault, and in that vault they saw a lot of reddish-gold coin-metal gold. That is, they saw ingots of gold made from melted-down gold coins.

They did not see any pure bullion. Almost all of the U.S. gold holdings were supposed to have been pure yellow bullion.

The woman Treasury official who showed that one ground-level vault denied there were any below-ground vaults. No one there challenged her by mentioning newspaper articles in 1935 and 1936 when the Depository was being built which told of elevators and underground vaults.

I read later accounts of heavily guarded truck convoys loaded with U.S. gold bought by 'foreign interests' which had taken that pure gold to the New York Federal Reserve Bank...where it may yet reside.

Note that the Federal Reserve banking system, "our" central bank, is privately owned and has never been audited by the U.S. government since it was created in 1913 ...because it refuses to be audited, and because the Congress refuses to face the issue.

So, now in 1996, I smile when a Treasury official says the nation's gold reserve will not be sold to avoid default. It cannot be sold because it doesn't exist; it has already been sold. What gold is left is worth only a few billions of dollars.



'When reports of Chinese citizens eating human fetuses for health reasons surfaced in Hong Kong last year, many dismissed them as fic-

tion, a half-baked reification of Jonathan Swift's A MODEST PROPOSAL, but when EASTWEEK and EASTERN EXPRESS, two English-language publications based in Hong Kong, investigated, the reporters were in for a shock. One investigator feigned illness and asked a Shenzhen hospital doctor for fetuses. Holding up a fist-sized glass bottle stuffed with ten thumb-sized unborn, the doctor said, "[They were] all aborted this morning. You can take them. We are a state-run hospital and don't charge anything." A private hospital spokesman offered to sell the reporters full-term unborn, which he claimed "contained the best healing properties."

'Zou Qin, a doctor who claimed to have aborted several hundred unborn and eaten 100 fetuses herself, said, "People normally prefer [fetuses from] young women, and even better, the first baby and a male." She justifies the practice: "They are wasted if we don't eat them. The women who receive abortions here don't want the fetuses. Also the fetuses are already dead [when we eat them]. We don't carry out abortions just to eat fetuses." Zou Qin has fed fetuses to her sister's children. "I wash them with clear water until they look transparent white and then stew them. Making soup is best." A photo depicts Zou Qin smiling, holding up a tiny fetus which hasn't made it to her bowl yet.'

---From "Eating Babies--Is Nothing Sacred?" By John Trott. January 1996 RUTHERFORD magazine.

So how long will it take the United States to reach the same attitude? Probably an uncontrollable population of 800 million and a ruthless socialist government...about 200 years from now, give or take a few wars and several famines.

But I would expect hospitals to grind the fetuses into 'hamburger' and feed them in soups and stews to the poor and homeless.

From this evidence it appears there is no instinctive prohibition in mankind against eating human fetuses.

Hey, if God didn't want it to happen, He would have programmed our DNA differently.



THE RELIANCE DEFENSE

The criminal charge the govt. files usually is "Willful failure to file" income tax returns. Many of our brave patriots have gone down in flames, since this is usually so easy to prove, and the judge will not let the defendant contest the constitutionality of the 16th Amendment. The defendant has very few legal defenses. The definition of "willful" was different for every judge, and every Circuit Court of Appeals.

This has all been changed by the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in the Cheech case (which should be read and studied by every tax protester). The sum total of the case is that "If you relied upon the advice of some expert" (Tax Accountant, Tax Attorney, CPA, enrolled Agent or author with tax expertise) and you based your decision "Not to file" on that advice, **you cannot be found guilty of the crime, since an evil intent is necessary for the govt. to prove.**

---William Drexler, B.B.C. NEWS, 3368 Governor Dr. #186, San Diego, CA 92122.

Drexler has a book available which details the Reliance Defense.

My policy is to keep my financial life as simple as possible, pay whatever my 'tax preparer' tells me to pay, according to IRS rules, and work to kill the IRS by law, with a flat tax or VAT alternative. Draconian measures are required.

---REG

Jury Nullification ☠ is

not what it sounds like. Bob Minarik writes in his December 13, 1995 issue of Patriots For Liberty [Bob Minarik, P.O. Box 334, Rochester, IN 46975] [Send a dollar to cover costs, if you're interested.] :

The Indiana Constitution states at Art. 1, Sec. 19: **"In all criminal cases whatever, the jury shall have the right to determine the law and the facts."**

On Sept. 5th, 1991, present Indiana Governor Evan Bayh signed a proclamation recognizing this right and power of the jury to nullify the law as an **"inalienable"** right.

Now, in the December 6th edition of the Wabash Plain Dealer, appears an article stating that Boonville Indiana Militia Leader Joe Holland is being tried criminally in Montana, for mass mailing 12,000 letters to the residents of Ravalli County, Montana. In his letter Holland urged those who would be called for jury duty to (and I quote the article) "take the law into their own hands and vote their consciences..."

"Holland is accused of two felonies - **criminal syndicalism by accountability,**" (say what?) "a charge involving support of Ravalli County Constitutionalist Calvin Greenup, and illegally influencing official and political matters", states the article.

Now I don't know the whole story here, but to be charged criminally for disseminating info about an inalienable right is an absurdity that itself needs to be nullified. And in this area, education is the name of the game.

In between talks at the seminar I had the pleasure of talking with R.J. Tavel, a young Libertarian attorney, (an unforgettable colorful character even without the J.D. behind his name) whose primary educational goal in life is the dissemination of info on the right of jury nullification. R.J. gave me the enclosed jury rights pamphlet and told me his goal was

to distribute 2½ million of these (at least one to every household in Indiana.)

'R.J. informed me that he has the most fun passing these out on the steps of the courthouse. In Indiana you cannot be prevented from doing this, but R.J. related that on one of his designated pass-out days, as assistant prosecutor came out on the steps and told him that about half of the jury pool is stricken because they read his jury rights pamphlet.

'Such arrogance on the part of the judicial system should inspire us all to give R.J. a hand, both in applause and in action. You can reach R.J. Tavel J.D. at 4000 N. Meridian #6D, Indianapolis, IN 46208-4025 - Phone: 317-923-3399. Ask him if you can help him distribute his jury rights pamphlet.

'For additional info on jury nullification, contact the Fully Informed Jury Amendment Committee (FIJA, P.O. Box 59, Helmsville, MT 59843).'

GEIS COMMENT: This Jury Rights movement has been growing for years and is growing stronger as it becomes more widely known and understood by the citizenry.

This 'right' is not in the U.S. Constitution as such except in the all inclusive Article X of the Bill of Rights.

As indicated by Bob Minarik above, Indiana has such a right in its state constitution. But the new story he quotes implies that Montana does not have such a right in its constitution.

I have noted 'Jury Rights' programs on local cable access channels, but I'm not sure if Oregon has a jury nullification clause in its constitution. But I do know that there is a kind of 'rough justice' process going on in jury rooms, mostly when juries think the police made an arrest on the edge of legality, and when they 'cut the defendant some slack' because of other situational factors. They usually follow the law as given by the judge...but not always.

Personally, I think it a good thing to have juries capable of correcting misguided, illegal, unconstitutional, and malicious prosecutions using bad law.

The best solution is strict limits and watchdogs on prosecutors, and better laws...so that the jury need not always be the last-ditch defense of justice and fairness.

But the jury nullification process should always be there as a further "make them think twice" leash on the local D.A. and state and federal higher-ups. Every citizen should know about it.

To coin a phrase: Power to the People.

Bob Minarik addressed another topic in his Dec. 13, 1995 Patriots For Liberty newsletter, 'Fundamental Rights' which I'd like to address. He writes:

'There is a theory being promoted throughout the tax patriot movement that because of a "War Powers Act" our nation is under some form of martial law and we have lost our rights. I do not endorse that theory.'

He then goes on to cite a lack of easily produced documents to prove that situation, and cites various court decisions and various laws...

But I believe from cited evidence and quotes I've seen, that the president has the renewed authority to invoke emergency powers and to rule as a de facto dictator in a generally agreed crisis which 'demands' extraordinary responses.

The president cannot arbitrarily invoke these powers on the spur of the moment to please himself or some of his backers. Essentially he would have to act with the consent of Congress...or risk full-scale revolution. It is possible he might act on his own in the circumstance of a terrible natural disaster or unexpected nuclear attack.

And most of the American people would have to agree that his action is necessary and correct.

THEN there would be appropriate 'authority' cited and printed, and 'during the emergency' some of

our Constitutional rights and 'privileges' would be held in abeyance until the emergency is declared over.

The beginning of a presidential government-by-decree will seem quite necessary and logical...and welcome.

"Patriots" who resist or deny or argue too much will be dealt with swiftly and perhaps brutally.

But how long The Emergency is kept active and how long Constitutional rights are denied will be another matter.

The longer people are kept as virtual slaves of the government and the more their lives are disrupted and impoverished by government action, the more resistance and rebellion will develop. It will be incumbent upon the president to justify his actions.

And I believe such a 'crisis' or national emergency could be planned for and created by the elite who really own this country...if they feel sufficiently threatened.

I'm sure there are now 'confidential' and Top Secret lists of 'activists' and 'sympathizers' who would be picked up, detained and questioned and imprisoned and/or kept in 'camps,' some for the duration.

The lure of tyranny attracts not just tyrants; it attracts followers who will eagerly trade 'rights' they never use for security, money, a modicum of power, status...

☞ That reminds me, I must write a letter to Bill Clinton offering my services as head obscenity censor for Oregon...before he declares The Emergency. I could be at the top of the 'short list'! After all, who is more qualified than I?

Hey, pay me a big salary, tickle my belly, and I'm yours!

■ Jack Saunders sent me a bumper sticker that reads:

EVIL GENIUS

Thanks, Jack! But Paulette won't let me put it on the car, and there's no place for it on my bicycle. I'll just have to wrap it around my head. Aha-ha-ha-ha-ha-ha-ha-ha-ha-ha... *Gasp!* *Choke* *Rattle*